

PATENT

MAN 0 4 100 E

Application No.:

10/068.023

Group Art Unit:

2616

Filing Date:

February 8, 2002

Examiner:

Tran, Phuc H.

Applicant:

Sunil K. GUPTA et al.

Title:

METHOD AND SYSTEM TO COMPENSATE FOR THE EFFECTS OF PACKET DELAYS ON SPEECH OUALITY

IN A VOICE-OVER IP SYSTEM

Attorney Docket:

29250-000558/US

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Mail Stop AF May 4, 2007

COMMENTS ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE APRIL 13, 2007 EXAMINER TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW

Sir:

In response to the telephonic interview conducted on April 13, 2007 and the Interview Summary dated April 23, 2007, the following remarks are respectfully submitted in connection with the above-identified application.

- 1) No prior art was discussed during the Interview.
- 2) No substantive arguments were presented by Applicants' representative. The Examiner initiated the Interview with Applicants' representative to discuss the amendments filed on April 5, 2007. In particular, the Examiner commented that the claimed limitation "wherein the buffer manager measures a distance between an earliest point of detected packet jitter in the buffer and an earliest

Application No. 10/068,023 Attorney Docket No. 29250-000558/US Page 2 of 3

packet in the buffer" of independent claim 1 required further clarification in order to put the claim (and thus the application) in condition for allowance.

- 3) Applicants' representative presented and discussed the following proposed amendment to independent claim 1 during the Interview: "wherein the buffer manager measures a distance between an earliest detected out of sequence packet and a beginning of the buffer."
- 4) An agreement was reached during the Interview. The Examiner indicated that the above proposed amendment was acceptable. It was agreed that Applicants' representative would submit the amendments to claim 1 and the respective dependent claims to the Examiner via fax. The Examiner would then make an Examiner's Amendment in accordance with the submitted amendments. See Interview Summary, April 23, 2007.
- 5) No other pertinent matters were discussed during the Interview.

As noted above, the foregoing remarks are provided so as to ensure a complete and proper recordation of the substance of the April 13, 2007 Interview.

Application No. 10/068,023 Attorney Docket No. 29250-000558/US Page 3 of 3

CONCLUSION

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Gary D. Yacura at the telephone number of the undersigned below.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 08-0750 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

HARNESS, DICKEY, & PIERCE, P.L.C.

Ву

Gary D. Yagura, Reg. No. 35,41

P.O. Box 8910 Reston, Virginia 20195 (703) 668-8000

GDY/TSW:ald